



PRESENTED BY J. Waldron¹ A. Adesina² S. Alkenbrack¹ S. Forsythe² E. Reuben³ A. Amzel³R. Phelps³

¹Health Policy Project, Futures Group, Washington, DC, USA; ²Health Policy Project, Futures Institute, Glastonbury, CT, USA; ³U.S. Agency for International Development, Washington, DC, USA

20th International **AIDS Conference**

July 20–25, 2014 Melbourne, Australia

CONTACT US

Health Policy Project One Thomas Circle, NW Suite 200 Washington, DC 20005 www.healthpolicyproject.com email: policyinfo@futuresgroup.com Tel: +1.202.775.9680 Fax: +1.202.775.9684

INTRODUCTION

t is estimated that 80,000 infants born annually in Zambia are at risk of acquiring HIV from their mothers. In 2011, more than 415,000 Zambians were on antiretroviral therapy (ART), but the number of children accessing ART services lagged significantly behind that of adults. Ensuring universal access to ART requires more information about the costs of scaling up services to reach every child in need. To inform the resource investment required to increase coverage of pediatric ART, the USAID-and PEPFAR-funded Health Policy Project (HPP), along with the Ministry of Health of the Government of the Republic of Zambia (MOH), examined the average additional cost to infant and child health servicesatthehealthfacilitylevel(incrementalcost)ofprovidingclinical pediatric ART services for children living with HIV. HPP and the MOH also analyzed the cost drivers of treatment to identify opportunities for increasing efficiencies.

References

Menzies, N.A., A. A. Berruti, R. Berzon, S. Filler, R. Ferris, et al. 2011. "The Cost of Providing Comprehensive HIV Treatment in PEPFAR-supported Programs." AIDS 25: 1753–1760.

National AIDS Council, Republic of Zambia. 2009. Zambia National HIV Prevention Response and Modes of Transmission Analysis. Lusaka, Zambia: Republic of Zambia.

National AIDS Council, Republic of Zambia. 2012. Zambia Country Report: Monitoring the Declaration of Commitment on HIV and AIDS and the Universal Access. Lusaka, Zambia: Republic of Zambia. Available at: https://www.unaids. org/en/dataanalysis/knowyourresponse/countryprogressreports/2012countries/ ce_ZM_Narrative_Report.pdf

Scott, C.A., H. Iyer, D. L. Bwalya, K. McCoy, G. Meyer-Rath, C. Moyo, C. Bolton-Moore, B. Larson, S. Rosen. 2013. "Retention in Care and Outpatient Costs for Children Receiving Antiretroviral Therapy in Zambia: A Retrospective Cohort Analysis." PLoS One 8(6):e67910.

USAID | DELIVER Project. 2012. "Master Facility List." Data file.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the staff at each of the 10 facilities visited for providing their time and insights to the study, and the District Health Management Teamsfortheirguidanceand contributions. Finally, the authors would like to thank all of the implementing partners who provided financial information on services rendered at and goods provided to each of the facilities in the study.



The Cost of Treating Children: Evidence from Zambia

METHODS

Financial records and service delivery data were collected from ten health centers in the Central, Copperbelt, Eastern, Lusaka, Northern, Western, and Southern provinces of Zambia. The facilities included secondary hospitals and urban and rural health centers where both pediatric and adult ART services are provided. Unit costs were calculated for routine pediatric ART services for three age ranges: infants (0–23 months); children ages 2 years–4 years, 11 months; and children ages 5–15 years. The cost data were further disaggregated into cost components to assess the major cost drivers of pediatric treatment: labor/personnel, drugs, HIV tests and medical supplies, operations/ maintenance and capital (equipment and building).

	Name of Facility	Area	Facility category	Province	District
1	Ronald Ross General Hospital	Urban.	Hospital Level 2	Copperbelt	Mufulira
2	Nchanga Clinic I	Urban	Health Center	Copperbelt	Chingola
3	Luangwa	Urban	Health Center	Copperbelt	Kitwe
4	Chawama (Lusaka)	Urban	Hospital Level 1	Lusaka	Lusaka
5	Mtendere	Urban	Health Center	Lusaka	Lusaka
6	Waya	Rural	Health Center	Central	Kapiri Mposhi
7	Luvuzi	Rural	Health Center	Western	Lukulu
8	ltezhi-tezhi Hospital	Rural	Hospital Level 1	Central	Itezhi-tezhi
9	Mbaya Musuma	Rural	Health Center	Southern	Mazabuka
10	Thomson	Urban	Hospital Level 1	Copperbelt	Luanshya



Table 1: Average incremental cost of pediatric ART services by type of facility							
Cost Category and Sub-category	Hospital	UHC	RHC	Average			
Direct personnel	\$23.46	\$6.65	\$12.80	\$15.22			
Drugs, consumables and medical supplies	\$53.11	\$98.93	\$61.76	\$69.45			
Staff training	\$81.60	\$4.42	\$73.54	\$56.03			
External services	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.01	\$0.00			
Total recurrent costs	\$158.17	\$110.01	\$148.11	\$140.70			
Overhead (maintenance, support and supervisory staff)	\$39.95	\$49.22	\$63.34	\$49.75			
Vehicles and equipment	\$29.98	\$16.68	\$46.06	\$29.12			
Total capital costs	\$69.92	\$65.89	\$109.40	\$78.86			
Unit cost	\$228.09	\$175.90	\$257.51	\$219.57			











- Vehicles and Equipment
- Overhead (maintenance support and supervisory staff)
- External services
- Staff training
- Drug consumables and medical supplies
- Direct personnel



The average annual incremental cost of providing pediatric ART services in Zambia was US\$220. By facility type, the incremental cost was highest at rural health centers (US\$260), followed by hospitals (US\$228), and urban health centers (US\$176). Across all types of facilities, drugs, consumables, and medical supplies comprise roughly one third of treatment costs; staff training comprises 26 percent of the cost; overhead costs comprise 23 percent; vehicles and equipment comprise 13 percent; and direct staff costs account for 5 percent of total cost. The cost of pediatric ART services was higher for facilities in rural areas (US\$226) than for those in urban areas (US\$203). The average incrementalcostofpediatricARTservicesfromthisstudywaslowerthan the combined cost of pediatric ART services and other infant and child services estimated in a previous study conducted in Zambia (Scott, et al., 2013). Because the total cost of pediatric ART services and infant and child health services are not mutually exclusive, national plans that include both costs are likely to overestimate the cost of pediatric ART services and national health costs. The incremental cost of pediatric ART services, which is mutually exclusive from infant and child health services, provides a more accurate estimation of pediatric ART service implementation costs for use in strategic planning.

Conclusions: Understanding the incremental cost of providing pediatric ART services is crucial to improving the accuracy of cost estimates for a national HIV and AIDS strategy for Zambia. This study demonstrates that it is less costly to add pediatric treatment to existing treatment sites than to offer treatment through sites that only serve children. The study documented actual costs, but did not reflect the quality of care being provided to pediatric patients. Future studies to assess the quality of care will be crucial to understand and estimate the true cost of meeting the needs of children living with HIV.

RESULTS

$The {\sf HealthPolicyProject is a five-year cooperative a greement funded by the U.S. A gency for {\sf International Development under A greement No.expansion of the second second$
$OAA-A-10-00067, beginning September30, 2010. The project's HIV activities are supported by the U.S.President's Emergency Plan for \mathsf{AIDSR}, where AIDSR, AIDS$
$({\sf PEPFAR}). It is implemented by {\sf Futures Group, in collaboration with {\sf PlanInternational USA, {\sf Futures Institute, {\sf Partners in Population and Development, {\sf Partners in Population and {\sf Partners in Populatin and {\sf Partners in Populati$
Regional Office (PPD ARO), Population Reference Bureau (PRB), RTI International, and the White Ribbon Alliance for Safe Motherhood (W

einformation provided in this document is not official U.S. Government information and does not necessarily represent the views or positions of the U.S. Government information and does not necessarily represent the view of the U.S. Government information and does not necessarily represent the view of the U.S. Government information and does not necessarily represent the view of the U.S. Government information and does not necessarily represent the view of the U.S. Government information and does not necessarily represent the view of the U.S. Government information and does not necessarily represent the view of the U.S. Government information and does not necessarily represent the view of the U.S. Government information and does not necessarily represent the view of the U.S. Government information and does not necessarily represent the view of the U.S. Government information and does not necessarily represent the view of the U.S. Government information and does not necessarily represent the view of the V.S. Government information and does not necessarily represent the view of the V.S. Government information and does not necessarily represent the view of the V.S. Government information and does not necessarily represent the view of the V.S. Government information and does not necessarily represent the view of the vie