

RESOURCE GUIDE

MONITORING AND EVALUATION

July 2014

The Capacity Development Resource Guides highlight the key technical areas of expertise needed to effectively influence health policy design, implementation, and monitoring and evaluation. Each guide identifies the specific skills, knowledge, and capacities that individuals and organizations should possess in the area. The standardized indicators listed for each competency and capability map to the accompanying Capacity Indicators Catalog, which helps to generate a tailored tool for assessing and scoring an organization's capacity level. Each guide also includes a list of useful resources for designing and delivering capacity development assistance.

MONITORING AND EVALUATING POLICY IMPLEMENTATION TO ASSESS PROGRESS TOWARD GOALS

DEFINITION

Monitoring and evaluation (M&E) involves routinely collecting and using data to track progress or change over time, allowing stakeholders to assess the effectiveness of a policy or program and track the efficient use of resources (Frankel, 2007). M&E activities cut across several areas of expertise (e.g., policy monitoring, data analysis and use, and accountability systems).

RELEVANCE TO POLICY

M&E frameworks and indicators are useful to measure progress in the implementation and attainment of policy goals, including those in policy, advocacy, governance, and finance. Monitoring and evaluation can track contributions from a given actor over time in a complex process with multiple actors. Appropriate monitoring methodologies can be used to assess the implementation of commitments and services outlined in policy instruments, as well as the impact of policies on program and outcome indicators. Special evaluative studies further illuminate the effects of polices and related implementation approaches. Taken together, M&E results can be useful in understanding which policies are effective and why and can inform the development of future policies. The data gathered from M&E frameworks can be used for evidence-based policy formation and continually provide feedback to guide advancements.





EXECUTE KEY

Stakeholders need skills to identify policy goals and develop objective indicators to measure progress toward those goals. Effective monitoring and evaluation of policies and programs requires frameworks with relevant indicators and a systematic means of collecting, analyzing, and using data. It also requires prior planning to evaluate the impact of an intervention.

PERFORMANCE IDEAL

High capacity for monitoring and evaluation includes being able to

- Design activities, including efforts to develop effective health policies, with specific, measurable, achievable, relevant, and time-bound (SMART) objectives and related indicators to measure progress
- Include routine data collection systems to track performance (monitoring) and evaluations to gauge the effectiveness of the intervention (evaluation) (a particular emphasis for policy-related activities is to develop a framework and indicators to link policy work with health outcomes)
- Systematically analyze and review available data for quality, relevance to implementation, and information gaps
- Share findings from internal M&E results and use them in conjunction with external data sources (e.g., population surveys, service delivery statistics, etc.) to further improve program design

In the ideal, the following would exist:

- Policies and plans at the national and subnational levels that include M&E components
- A national strategic and operational plan for M&E included in the health sector strategy (reflecting different disease areas and operational needs, including cost, at national and subnational levels), with defined indicators and clearly defined roles and responsibilities
- Clear M&E regulatory frameworks to protect individuals' rights (i.e., the confidentiality of individuals' health records and health status)
- Systematic policy monitoring incorporated at the central Ministry of Health level to track the implementation and outcomes of policy initiatives
- Public dissemination of health-related M&E data to support accountability
- A national research agenda that reflects stakeholder research priorities and supports use of the research findings

INDIVIDUAL COMPETENCIES

KNOWLEDGE OF

M&E fundamentals	ME1, ME2, ME3
Indicators and methods used to monitor and evaluate complex processes within the particular program of interest, such as policy, advocacy, governance, and finance	ME4
The impact of evidence-based advocacy and policy on advancing health outcomes	ME5
SKILLS TO BE ABLE TO	
Develop a conceptual framework and logic model for any given policy-related project, intervention, or activity	ME11
Establish and implement routine data collection systems, including a program monitoring plan, indicators, and data storage mechanisms	ME12
Analyze and use data from primary and secondary sources to support strong programs	ME6
Explain findings and results of data analysis with knowledge of current program and needs; link previous findings/results to current needs/trends	ME7, ME8
Present data in various ways to reach multiple audiences	ME9
Engage stakeholders in the policy process and potential target audiences or users of the M&E information produced	ME10
Design and implement process and outcome evaluations and/or operations research studies	ME13
Listen, negotiate, train, and influence others to participate in M&E functions (e.g., developing SMART objectives, collecting high-quality data, and using M&E data for decisions)	ME14
Liaise between program implementers (data collectors) and data users to ensure M&E meets the needs of all stakeholders	ME15
ATTITUDES/VALUES/ATTRIBUTES	
Can work methodically, with attention to detail	ME16
Values working in collaboration	ME17
Can inspire and influence others to understand and value M&E	ME18

ORGANIZATIONAL CAPABILITIES

TECHNICAL ABILITY TO

Integrate M&E throughout the program cycle	ME3
Strengthen the linkages between program and M&E staff to provide high-quality data on program design, implementation, and evaluation	ME19
Regularly use and promote program M&E data to communicate program performance	ME20
Evaluate national and subnational health policies or advocate for and monitor the evaluation of national and subnational health policies, showing their impact on health outcomes	ME21, ME22
Consistently evaluate the outcomes of major programs or activities, and produce public reports (e.g., annual or semi-annual reports) on progress toward achieving stated goals and objectives	ME22, ME23, ME24
Access and contribute to M&E systems outside of the organization (e.g., national health systems, M&E of service delivery systems, etc.)	ME25
Provide technical assistance in M&E to other organizations (training, mentoring, etc.)	ME35
RELATIONAL ABILITY TO	
Ensure that program managers and decisionmakers within the organization are knowledgeable and competent in M&E fundamentals and in strengthening data demand and use	ME26
Lead or engage in working groups/committees external to the organization to strengthen the overall M&E system and share information and approaches	ME27
Engage internal and external partners on strategies to use policy and advocacy data to advance health systems and improve outcomes	ME28
ORGANIZATIONAL OPERATIONS AND MANAGEMENT TO SUPPORT	
The allocation of adequate resources (e.g., time, staff, money) to M&E activities, including keeping abreast of innovation and global best practices	ME29
The M&E unit within the organization with specific responsibility and authority to provide assistance to policy and programmatic teams and collect data from these teams to document the organization's work	ME30
An M&E framework and system for monitoring and evaluating its work, including a plan that identifies the indicators to track and describes what approaches and tools will be used; systems to collect, analyze, and synthesize data; and routine staff meetings that include specific time for review and discussion of M&E systems and data	ME31, ME32, ME33, ME34

CAPACITYSTRENGTHENING ACTIVITIES

- Conduct M&E training for staff or beneficiaries on data collection, analysis, interpretation, and/or use
- Undertake M&E coaching or mentoring of new or recent M&E staff within an organization
- Provide remote assistance (question and answer support) with routine data collection, use, and reporting
- Partner to conduct an audit/assessment of an M&E system and information needs of an organization, and support development of an M&E system operational plan
- Promote the institutionalizing of M&E tools/approaches/systems that reflect international best practices

RESOURCES

Chapman, J. 2002. "Monitoring and Evaluating Advocacy." *PLA Notes* 43: 48–52. Available at: http://www.innonet.org/resources/files/ME_Advocacy.pdf.

Foreit, K. 2012. Addressing Poverty: A Guide for Considering Poverty-Related and Other Inequities in Health. Chapel Hill, NC: MEASURE Evaluation. Available at: http://www.cpc.unc.edu/measure/publications/ms-08-27.

Frankel, N., and A. Gage. 2006. "M&E Fundamentals." Chapel Hill, NC: MEASURE Evaluation. Available at: http://www.globalhealthlearning.org/course/m-efundamentals or https://training.measureevaluation.org/certificate-courses/m-efundamentals-en.

Global HIV M&E Information. 2013. "12 Components of a Functional National HIV M&E System." Available at: https://www.globalhivmeinfo.org/Pages/HomePage.aspx.

International Health Partnership. 2011. *Monitoring, Evaluation and Review of National Health Strategies: A Country-Led Platform for Information and Accountability*. Geneva: World Health Organization. Available at: http://www.who.int/healthinfo/country_monitoring_evaluation/1085_IER_131011_web.pdf.

Jones, H. 2011. "A Guide to Monitoring and Evaluating Policy Influence." London, UK: Overseas Development Institute. Available at: http://www.odi.org.uk/sites/odi.org.uk/files/odi-assets/publications-opinion-files/6453.pdf.

Judice, N. 2009. "7 Steps to Use Routine Information to Improve HIV/AIDS Programs." Chapel Hill, NC: Measure Evaluation. Available at: http://www.cpc.unc.edu/measure/publications/ms-09-38.

K4Health. "Family Planning Advocacy Toolkit: Monitoring and Evaluation." Available at: https://www.k4health.org/toolkits/family-planning-advocacy/monitoring-evaluation.

Lessik, A., and V. Michener. 2000. "Measuring Institutional Capacity." Recent Practices in Monitoring and Evaluation, TIPS. Washington, DC: USAID Center for Development Information and Evaluation. Available at: http://pdf.usaid.gov/pdf_docs/PNACG612.pdf.

MLE Project 2013. "Measuring Success Toolkit: Using Data for Health Program Planning, Monitoring and Evaluation." Available at: http://toolkits.urbanreproductivehealth.org/toolkits/measuring-success.

United Nations Population Fund (UNFPA). 2004. "The Programme Managers Planning, Monitoring and Evaluation Toolkit." New York, NY: UNFPA. Available at: http://www.eldis.org/go/home&id=43247&type=Document#.Uómpz_ldVhE.

United States Agency for International Development (USAID). 2013. "USAID Global Health E-Learning Center." Washington, DC: USAI). Available at: http://www.globalhealthlearning.org/courses.

Vondal, P. 2000. "Monitoring the Policy Reform Process." Recent Practices in Monitoring and Evaluation, TIPS. Washington, DC: USAID Center for Development Information and Evaluation. Available at: http://pdf.usaid.gov/pdf_docs/PNACA949.pdf.

Suggested citation: Health Policy Project. 2014. "Capacity Development Resource Guide: Monitoring and Evaluation." Washington, DC: Futures Group, Health Policy Project.

ISBN: 978-1-59560-031-8

This resource guide is part of an Organizational Capacity Assessment (OCA) suite of tools. The USAID-funded Health Policy Project developed the suite to help organizations assess and strengthen their capacity to contribute to improved health systems and health outcomes. The tools include an overview of the OCA approach, a facilitator guide, a series of capacity development resource guides, and a Capacity Indicators Catalog. The suite is available at www.healthpolicyproject.com.

The Health Policy Project is a five-year cooperative agreement funded by the U.S. Agency for International Development under Agreement No. AID-OAA-A-10-00067, beginning September 30, 2010. It is implemented by Futures Group, in collaboration with Plan International USA, Futures Institute, Partners in Population and Development, Africa Regional Office (PPD ARO), Population Reference Bureau (PRB), RTI International, and the White Ribbon Alliance for Safe Motherhood (WRA).

The information provided in this document is not official U.S. Government information and does not necessarily represent the views or positions of the U.S. Agency for International Development.